

# **APPENDIX E**

Capacity Analysis Outputs – Future Conditions (Without Build-Out of CEBP)

DRAFT

DRAFT

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: M. Raso  
 Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study  
 Date Performed: 01/05/2009  
 Analysis Time Period: AM  
 Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 N Ramps  
 Jurisdiction:  
 Units: U. S. Customary  
 Analysis Year: 2013  
 Project ID: No Energy Park Scenario (140,000 tpy)  
 East/West Street: Hwy 401 on-off ramps  
 North/South Street: Courtice Rd.  
 Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00

Major Street: Approach Movement	Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments			Southbound		
	1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R
Volume	33	138		237	342	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	33	138		237	342	
Percent Heavy Vehicles	25	--	--	--	--	
Median Type/Storage	Undivided /					
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	1		1	0	
Configuration	LT			TR		
Upstream Signal?	No			No		

Minor Street: Approach Movement	Westbound			Eastbound		
	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume	35		228			
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00		1.00			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	35		228			
Percent Heavy Vehicles	15		7			
Percent Grade (%)	0 / 0					
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	0 Yes / 2 0 /					
Lanes	0		0			
Configuration	LR					

Approach Movement	Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service					
	1 LT	2 SB	3 7 LR	4 8 9	5 10	6 11 12
v (vph)	33		263			
C(m) (vph)	891		1035			
V/c	0.04		0.25			
95% queue length	0.12		1.02			
Control Delay	9.2		10.9			
LOS	A		B			
Approach Delay	10.9					
Approach LOS	B					

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

Phone: Fax:  
 E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: M. Raso  
 Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study  
 Date Performed: 01/05/2009  
 Analysis Time Period: AM  
 Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 N Ramps  
 Jurisdiction:  
 Units: U. S. Customary  
 Analysis Year: 2013  
 Project ID: No Energy Park Scenario (140,000 tpy)  
 East/West Street: Hwy 401 on-off ramps  
 North/South Street: Courtice Rd.  
 Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00

Major Street Movements	Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments					
	1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R
Volume	33	138		237	342	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Peak-15 Minute Volume	8	34		59	86	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	33	138		237	342	
Percent Heavy Vehicles	25	--	--	--	--	
Median Type/Storage	Undivided /					
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	1		1	0	
Configuration	LT			TR		
Upstream Signal?	No			No		

Minor Street Movements	Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments					
	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume	35		228			
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00		1.00			
Peak-15 Minute Volume	9		57			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	35		228			
Percent Heavy Vehicles	15		7			
Percent Grade (%)	0 / 0					
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	0 Yes / 2 0 /					
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0		0			
Configuration	LR					

Movements	Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments			
	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (ft)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0
Walking Speed (ft/sec)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Prog. Flow vph	Upstream Signal Data					
	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed to Signal mph	Distance feet
S2 Left-Turn Through						
S5 Left-Turn Through						

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	138	
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	0	
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1700	
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1700	
Number of major street through lanes:	1	

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Movement	Critical Gap Calculation							
	1 L	4 L	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
t(c,base)	4.1		7.1		6.2			
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(HV)	25		15		7			
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Grade/100			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)	0.00		0.70		0.00			
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c)	1-stage	4.3		6.5		6.3		
2-stage								

Movement	Follow-Up Time Calculations							
	1 L	4 L	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
t(f,base)	2.20		3.50		3.30			
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)	25		15		7			
t(f)	2.4		3.6		3.4			

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)				
Arrival Type				
Effective Green, g (sec)				
Cycle Length, C (sec)				
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)				
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P				
g(q1)				
g(q2)				
g(q)				

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked for minor movements, p(x)	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
alpha				
beta				
Travel time, t(a) (sec)				
Smoothering Factor, F				
Proportion of conflicting flow, f				
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)				
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)				
Duration of blocked period, t(p)		0.000		0.000
Proportion time blocked, p				

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods	Result	
	p(2)	p(5)
p(2)	0.000	
p(5)	0.000	
p(dom)		
p(subo)		
Constrained or unconstrained?		

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Single-Stage Process	Two-Stage Process Stage I	Two-Stage Process Stage II
p(1)			
p(4)			
p(7)			
p(8)			
p(9)			
p(10)			
p(11)			
p(12)			

Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process	Movement							
	1 L	4 L	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
V c,x	579		612		138			
s								
Px								
V c,u,x								

Two-Stage Process	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2
V(c,x)								
s								
P(x)	1500							
V(c,u,x)								
C(r,x)								
C(plat,x)								

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9		12	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2
Conflicting Flows				
Potential Capacity			138	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor			897	
Movement Capacity			1.00	1.00
Probability of Queue free St.			0.75	1.00

Step 2: LT from Major St.	4		1	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2
Conflicting Flows				
Potential Capacity				579
Pedestrian Impedance Factor			1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity			1.00	891
Probability of Queue free St.			1.00	0.96
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.				0.96

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2
Conflicting Flows				
Potential Capacity				
Pedestrian Impedance Factor			1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt			0.96	0.96

Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Conflicting Flows	612	
Potential Capacity	436	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		0.96
Movement Capacity	0.96	0.72
	420	

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.96	0.96
Movement Capacity		

Result for 2 stage process:

a		
y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
---------------------------	---	----

Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	612	
Potential Capacity	436	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		0.96
Movement Capacity	0.96	0.97
	420	0.72

Results for Two-stage process:

a		
y		
C t	420	

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)	35		228			
Movement Capacity (vph)	420		897			
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)		779				

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep	420		897			
Volume	35		228			
Delay	14.4		10.4			
Q sep	0.14		0.66			
Q sep +1	1.14		1.66			
round (Qsep +1)	1		2			
n max		2				
C sh		779				
SUM C sep		1035				
n		2				
C act		1035				

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LT			LR				
v (vph)	33			263				
C(m) (vph)	891			1035				
v/c	0.04			0.25				
95% queue length	0.12			1.02				
Control Delay	9.2			10.9				
LOS	A			B				
Approach Delay				10.9				
Approach LOS				B				

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
P(oj)	0.96	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	138	
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	0	
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1700	
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1700	
P*(oj)	0.96	
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	9.2	
N, Number of major street through lanes	1	
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5	0.4	

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY												
Analyst: M. Raso												
Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study												
Date Performed: 01/05/2009												
Analysis Time Period: PM												
Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 N Ramps												
Jurisdiction:												
Units: U. S. Customary												
Analysis Year: 2013												
Project ID: Without Energy Park (140,000 tpy)												
East/West Street: Hwy 401 E-N/S												
North/South Street: Courtice Rd.												
Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00												
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments												
Major Street: Approach Movement	Northbound			Southbound								
	1	2	3	4	5	6						
	L	T	R	L	T	R						
Volume	63	691			220	149						
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00						
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	63	691			220	149						
Percent Heavy Vehicles	25	--	--		--	--						
Median Type/Storage	Undivided						/					
RT Channelized?												
Lanes	0 1			1 0								
Configuration	LT			TR								
Upstream Signal?	No			No								
Minor Street: Approach Movement	Westbound			Eastbound								
	7	8	9	10	11	12						
	L	T	R	L	T	R						
Volume	17		232									
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00		1.00									
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	17		232									
Percent Heavy Vehicles	42		4									
Percent Grade (%)	0			0								
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	0			Yes /2			/					
Lanes	0			0								
Configuration	LR											
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service												
Approach Movement	NB			SB			Westbound			Eastbound		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Lane Config	LT			LR			LR			LR		
v (vph)	63			249								
C(m) (vph)	1074			473								
V/c	0.06			0.53								
95% queue length	0.19			3.24								
Control Delay	8.6			22.4								
LOS	A			C								
Approach Delay				22.4								
Approach LOS				C								

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

Phone:	Fax:											
E-Mail:												
TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS												
Analyst: M. Raso												
Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study												
Date Performed: 01/05/2009												
Analysis Time Period: PM												
Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 N Ramps												
Jurisdiction:												
Units: U. S. Customary												
Analysis Year: 2013												
Project ID: Without Energy Park (140,000 tpy)												
East/West Street: Hwy 401 E-N/S												
North/South Street: Courtice Rd.												
Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00												
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments												
Major Street Movements	1			2			3			4		
	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	63	691			220	149						
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00						
Peak-15 Minute Volume	16	173			55	37						
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	63	691			220	149						
Percent Heavy Vehicles	25	--	--		--	--						
Median Type/Storage	Undivided						/					
RT Channelized?												
Lanes	0 1			1 0								
Configuration	LT			TR								
Upstream Signal?	No			No								
Minor Street Movements	7			8			9			10		
	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	17		232									
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00		1.00									
Peak-15 Minute Volume	4		58									
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	17		232									
Percent Heavy Vehicles	42		4									
Percent Grade (%)	0			0								
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	0			Yes /2			/					
RT Channelized?												
Lanes	0			0								
Configuration	LR											
Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments												
Movements	13			14			15			16		
Flow (ped/hr)	0			0			0			0		
Lane Width (ft)	12.0			12.0			12.0			12.0		
Walking Speed (ft/sec)	4.0			4.0			4.0			4.0		
Percent Blockage	0			0			0			0		
Upstream Signal Data												
	Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed to Signal mph	Distance feet					
S2 Left-Turn Through												
S5 Left-Turn Through												
Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles												

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	691	
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	0	
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1700	
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1700	
Number of major street through lanes:	1	

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Movement	1		4		7		8		9		10		11		12	
	L	L	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T
t(c,base)	4.1				7.1				6.2				1.00			
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(HV)	25				42				4				4			
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.10
Grade/100			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)	0.00				0.70				0.00				0.00			
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
t(c)	1-stage	4.3			6.8				6.2				6.2			
2-stage																

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal																
Movement 2						Movement 5										
V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)					
V prog																
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)																
Arrival Type																
Effective Green, g (sec)																
Cycle Length, C (sec)																
Rg (from Exhibit 16-11)																
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P																
g(q1)																
g(q2)																
g(q)																
Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked																
Movement 2						Movement 5										
V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)					
alpha																
beta																
Travel time, t(a) (sec)																
Smoothing Factor, F																
Proportion of conflicting flow, f																
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)																
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)																
Duration of blocked period, t(p)																
Proportion time blocked, p																
0.000						0.000										
Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods																
Result																
p(2)																
p(5)																
p(dom)																
p(subo)																
Constrained or unconstrained?																
Proportion unblocked																
for minor movements, p(x)																
(1) Single-Stage Process			(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I			(3) Two-Stage Process Stage II										
p(1)																
p(4)																
p(7)																
p(8)																
p(9)																
p(10)																
p(11)																
p(12)																
Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process																
Movement	1		4		7		8		9		10		11		12	
	L	L	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T
V c,x	369		1111		691											
s																
Px																
V c,u,x																
C r,x																
C(plat,x)																
Two-Stage Process																
	7		8		10		11									
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2
V(c,x)																
s																
P(x)	1500															
V(c,u,x)																
C(r,x)																
C(plat,x)																
Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations																
Step 1: RT from Minor St.																
9																
12																
Conflicting Flows																
Potential Capacity																
Pedestrian Impedance Factor																
Movement Capacity																
Probability of Queue free St.																
Step 2: LT from Major St.																
4																
1																
Conflicting Flows																
Potential Capacity																
Pedestrian Impedance Factor																
Movement Capacity																
Probability of Queue free St.																
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.																
Step 3: TH from Minor St.																
8																
11																
Conflicting Flows																
Potential Capacity																
Pedestrian Impedance Factor																
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt																
1.00																
0.90																
1.00																
0.90																

Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Conflicting Flows	1111	
Potential Capacity	194	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		0.90
Movement Capacity		0.92
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.94	0.44
Movement Capacity	183	

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.90	0.90
Movement Capacity		

Result for 2 stage process:

a		
y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
---------------------------	---	----

Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	1111	
Potential Capacity	194	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		0.90
Movement Capacity		0.92
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.94	0.44
Movement Capacity	183	

Results for Two-stage process:

a		
y		
C t	183	

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)	17		232			
Movement Capacity (vph)	183		441			
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)		402				

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep	183		441			
Volume	17		232			
Delay	26.7		22.1			
Q sep	0.13		1.43			
Q sep +1	1.13		2.43			
round (Qsep +1)	1		2			
n max		2				
C sh		402				
SUM C sep		473				
n		2				
C act		473				

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LT			LR				
v (vph)	63			249				
C(m) (vph)	1074			473				
v/c	0.06			0.53				
95% queue length	0.19			3.24				
Control Delay	8.6			22.4				
LOS	A			C				
Approach Delay				22.4				
Approach LOS				C				

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
P(oj)	0.94	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	691	
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	0	
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1700	
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1700	
P*(oj)	0.90	
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	8.6	
N, Number of major street through lanes	1	
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5	0.8	

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY														
Analyst: M. Raso														
Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study														
Date Performed: 01/05/2009														
Analysis Time Period: AM														
Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 S Ramps														
Jurisdiction:														
Units: U. S. Metric														
Analysis Year: 2013														
Project ID: No Energy Park Scenario (140,000 tpy)														
East/West Street: Hwy 401 W-N/S														
North/South Street: Courtice Rd.														
Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00														
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments														
Major Street:	Approach	Northbound			Southbound									
	Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6							
		L	T	R	L	T	R							
Volume		3	11	3	87	15	172							
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00							
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		3	11	3	87	15	172							
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0	--	--	25	--	--							
Median Type/Storage		Undivided												
RT Channelized?														
Lanes		0	1	0	0	1	1							
Configuration		LTR			LT			R						
Upstream Signal?		No												
Minor Street:	Approach	Westbound			Eastbound									
	Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12							
		L	T	R	L	T	R							
Volume		4	3	27	133	97	12							
Peak Hour Factor, PHF		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00							
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		4	3	27	133	97	12							
Percent Heavy Vehicles		17	5	25	8	10	0							
Percent Grade (%)		0												
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage		/												
Lanes		0	1	1	0	2	0							
Configuration		LTR			R									
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service														
Approach	Movement	SB	Westbound			Eastbound								
		1	2	3	4	5	6							
		L	T	R	L	T	R							
Lane Config		LTR	LT	LT	R	LT	TR							
v (vph)		3	87	7	27	133	181							
C(m) (vph)		1399	1467	495	1005	666	688							
V/c		0.00	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.27	0.09							
95% queue length		0.01	0.19	0.04	0.08	1.11	0.29							
Control Delay		7.6	7.6	12.4	8.7	12.4	10.7							
LOS		A	A	B	A	B	B							
Approach Delay		9.4						12.0						
Approach LOS		A						B						

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

Phone: Fax: E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: M. Raso																
Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study																
Date Performed: 01/05/2009																
Analysis Time Period: AM																
Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 S Ramps																
Jurisdiction:																
Units: U. S. Metric																
Analysis Year: 2013																
Project ID: No Energy Park Scenario (140,000 tpy)																
East/West Street: Hwy 401 W-N/S																
North/South Street: Courtice Rd.																
Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Major Street Movements	Approach	Northbound			Southbound											
	Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6									
		L	T	R	L	T	R									
Volume		3	11	3	87	15	172									
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00									
Peak-15 Minute Volume		1	3	1	22	4	43									
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		3	11	3	87	15	172									
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0	--	--	25	--	--									
Median Type/Storage		Undivided														
RT Channelized?																
Lanes		0	1	0	0	1	1									
Configuration		LTR			LT			R								
Upstream Signal?		No														
Minor Street Movements	Approach	Westbound			Eastbound											
	Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12									
		L	T	R	L	T	R									
Volume		4	3	27	133	97	12									
Peak Hour Factor, PHF		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00									
Peak-15 Minute Volume		1	1	7	33	24	3									
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		4	3	27	133	97	12									
Percent Heavy Vehicles		17	5	25	8	10	0									
Percent Grade (%)		0														
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage		/														
RT Channelized?																
Lanes		0	1	1	0	2	0									
Configuration		LTR			R											
Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments																
Movements	13		14		15		16									
Flow (ped/hr)	0		0		0		0									
Lane Width (m)	3.6		3.6		3.6		3.6									
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2		1.2		1.2		1.2									
Percent Blockage	0		0		0		0									
Upstream Signal Data																
Prog. Flow	Sat Flow	Arrival	Green	Cycle	Prog. Speed	Distance										
vph	vph	sec	sec	sec	kph	meters										
S2 Left-Turn Through																
S5 Left-Turn Through																

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	11	15
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	3	0
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1800	1800
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1700	1800
Number of major street through lanes:	1	1

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation											
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12			
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R			
t(c,base)	4.1	4.1	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2			
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			
P(HV)	0	25	17	5	25	8	10	0			
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10			
Grade/100			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
t(3,lt)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00			
t(c)	1-stage	4.1	4.3	7.3	6.6	6.4	7.2	6.6			
2-stage											
Follow-Up Time Calculations											
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12			
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R			
t(f,base)	2.20	2.20	3.50	4.00	3.30	3.50	4.00	3.30			
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90			
P(HV)	0	25	17	5	25	8	10	0			
t(f)	2.2	2.4	3.7	4.0	3.5	3.6	4.1	3.3			

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal											
						Movement 2		Movement 5			
V prog						V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)		
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)											
Arrival Type											
Effective Green, g (sec)											
Cycle Length, C (sec)											
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)											
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P											
g(q1)											
g(q2)											
g(q)											
Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked											
						Movement 2		Movement 5			
alpha						V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)		
beta											
Travel time, t(a) (sec)											
Smoothing Factor, F											
Proportion of conflicting flow, f											
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)											
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)											
Duration of blocked period, t(p)											
Proportion time blocked, p											
						0.000		0.000			
Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods											
						Result					
p(2)						0.000					
p(5)						0.000					
p(dom)											
p(subo)											
Constrained or unconstrained?											
Proportion unblocked											
						(1)	(2)	(3)			
for minor movements, p(x)						Single-stage Process	Two-Stage Process Stage I	Two-Stage Process Stage II			
p(1)											
p(4)											
p(7)											
p(8)											
p(9)											
p(10)											
p(11)											
p(12)											
Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process											
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12			
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R			
V c,x	187	14	348	379	12	209	209	15			
s											
Px											
V c,u,x											
C r,x											
C plat,x											
Two-Stage Process											
		7		8		10		11			
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2		
V(c,x)		1500		1500		1500		1500			
P(x)											
V(c,u,x)											
C(r,x)											
C(plat,x)											
Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations											
Step 1: RT from Minor St.						9		12			
Conflicting Flows						12		15			
Potential Capacity						1005		1070			
Pedestrian Impedance Factor						1.00		1.00			
Movement Capacity						1005		1070			
Probability of Queue free St.						0.97		0.99			
Step 2: LT from Major St.						4		1			
Conflicting Flows						14		187			
Potential Capacity						1467		1399			
Pedestrian Impedance Factor						1.00		1.00			
Movement Capacity						1467		1399			
Probability of Queue free St.						0.94		1.00			
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.						0.94		1.00			
Step 3: TH from Minor St.						8		11			
Conflicting Flows						379		209			
Potential Capacity						548		674			
Pedestrian Impedance Factor						1.00		1.00			
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt						0.94		0.94			

Movement Capacity	514	632
Probability of Queue free St.	0.99	0.85
Step 4: LT from Minor St.		
	7	10
Conflicting Flows	348	209
Potential Capacity	579	736
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.79	0.93
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	0.84	0.95
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.83	0.92
Movement Capacity	482	679

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.		
	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	379	209
Potential Capacity	548	674
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.94	0.94
Movement Capacity	514	632

Result for 2 stage process:		
a		
y		
C t	514	632
Probability of Queue free St.	0.99	0.85

Step 4: LT from Minor St.		
	7	10
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	348	209
Potential Capacity	579	736
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.79	0.93
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	0.84	0.95
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.83	0.92
Movement Capacity	482	679

Results for Two-stage process:		
a		
y		
C t	482	679

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)	4	3	27	133	97	12
Movement Capacity (vph)	482	514	1005	679	632	1070
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)	495			666		688

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep	482	514	1005	679	632	1070
Volume	4	3	27	133	97	12
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh	495			666		688
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LTR	LT	LT		R	LT		TR
v (vph)	3	87	7		27	181		60
C(m) (vph)	1399	1467	495		1005	666		688
v/c	0.00	0.06	0.01		0.03	0.27		0.09
95% queue length	0.01	0.19	0.04		0.08	1.11		0.29
Control Delay	7.6	7.6	12.4		8.7	12.4		10.7
LOS	A	A	B		A	B		B
Approach Delay				9.4			12.0	
Approach LOS				A			B	

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
P(oj)	1.00	0.94
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	11	15
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	3	0
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1800	1800
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1700	1800
P*(oj)	1.00	0.94
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	7.6	7.6
N, Number of major street through lanes	1	1
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5	0.0	0.5

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY												
Analyst: M. Raso												
Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study												
Date Performed: 06/25/2007												
Analysis Time Period: PM												
Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 S Ramps												
Jurisdiction:												
Units: U. S. Metric												
Analysis Year: 2013												
Project ID: No Energy Park Scenario (140,000 tpy)												
East/West Street: Hwy 401 W-N/S												
North/South Street: Courtice Rd.												
Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00												
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments												
Major Street:	Approach	Northbound			Southbound							
	Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6					
		L	T	R	L	T	R					
Volume		4	12	4	33	15	189					
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		4	12	4	33	15	189					
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0	--	--	10	--	--					
Median Type/Storage		Undivided										
RT Channelized?											No	
Lanes		0	1	0	0	1	1					
Configuration		LTR			LT			R				
Upstream Signal?		No									No	
Minor Street:	Approach	Westbound			Eastbound							
	Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12					
		L	T	R	L	T	R					
Volume		1	13	78	662	42	7					
Peak Hour Factor, PHF		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		1	13	78	662	42	7					
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0	25	0	3	25	0					
Percent Grade (%)		0									0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage		/									No /	
Lanes		0	1	1	1	1	0					
Configuration		LTR			L			TR				
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service												
Approach	SB	Westbound			Eastbound							
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12				
Lane Config	LTR	LT	LT	R	R	L	L	TR				
v (vph)	4	33	14		78	662	42	7				
C(m) (vph)	1380	1551	575		1072	775		761				
V/c	0.00	0.02	0.02		0.07	0.85		0.06				
95% queue length	0.01	0.07	0.07		0.24	14.07		0.21				
Control Delay	7.6	7.4	11.4		8.6	34.5		10.1				
LOS	A	A	B		A	D		B				
Approach Delay				9.0				32.8				
Approach LOS				A				D				

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

Phone:		Fax:	
E-Mail:			
TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS			
Analyst: M. Raso			
Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study			
Date Performed: 06/25/2007			
Analysis Time Period: PM			
Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 S Ramps			
Jurisdiction:			
Units: U. S. Metric			
Analysis Year: 2013			
Project ID: No Energy Park Scenario (140,000 tpy)			
East/West Street: Hwy 401 W-N/S			
North/South Street: Courtice Rd.			
Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00			
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments			
Major Street Movements	L	T	R
Volume	4	12	4
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00
Peak-15 Minute Volume	1	3	1
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	4	12	4
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided		
RT Channelized?			
Lanes	0	1	0
Configuration	LTR		
Upstream Signal?	No		
Minor Street Movements	L	T	R
Volume	1	13	78
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00
Peak-15 Minute Volume	0	3	20
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	1	13	78
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	25	0
Percent Grade (%)	0		
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	/		
RT Channelized?			
Lanes	0	1	1
Configuration	LT	R	
Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments			
Movements	13	14	15
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0
Upstream Signal Data			
Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec
S2 Left-Turn Through			
S5 Left-Turn Through			
Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles			

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	12	15
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	4	0
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1700	1700
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1700	1700
Number of major street through lanes:	1	1

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation											
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12			
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R			
t(c,base)	4.1	4.1	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2			
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			
P(HV)	0	10	0	25	0	3	25	0			
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10			
Grade/100			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
t(3,lt)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00			
t(c)	1-stage	4.1	4.2	7.1	6.8	6.2	7.1	6.8			
2-stage											
Follow-Up Time Calculations											
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12			
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R			
t(f,base)	2.20	2.20	3.50	4.00	3.30	3.50	4.00	3.30			
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90			
P(HV)	0	10	0	25	0	3	25	0			
t(f)	2.2	2.3	3.5	4.2	3.3	3.5	4.2	3.3			

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal			
	Movement 2	Movement 5	
V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog			
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)			
Arrival Type			
Effective Green, g (sec)			
Cycle Length, C (sec)			
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)			
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P			
g(q1)			
g(q2)			
g(q)			
Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked			
	Movement 2	Movement 5	
V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
alpha			
beta			
Travel time, t(a) (sec)			
Smoother Factor, F			
Proportion of conflicting flow, f			
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)			
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)			
Duration of blocked period, t(p)			
Proportion time blocked, p	0.000	0.000	
Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods			
	Result		
p(2)	0.000		
p(5)	0.000		
p(dom)			
p(subo)			
Constrained or unconstrained?			
Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process			
Movement	1	4	7
	L	L	L
V c,x	204	16	222
s			
Px			
V c,u,x			
C r,x			
C plat,x			
Two-Stage Process			
	7	8	10
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1
V(c,x)			
P(x)	1500	1500	1500
V(c,u,x)			
C(r,x)			
C(plat,x)			
Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations			
Step 1: RT from Minor St.			
		9	12
Conflicting Flows		14	15
Potential Capacity		1072	1070
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		1072	1070
Probability of Queue free St.		0.93	0.99
Step 2: LT from Major St.			
		4	1
Conflicting Flows		16	204
Potential Capacity		1551	1380
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		1551	1380
Probability of Queue free St.		0.98	1.00
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.		0.98	1.00
Step 3: TH from Minor St.			
		8	11
Conflicting Flows		292	105
Potential Capacity		582	744
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		0.98	0.98

Movement Capacity	568	726
Probability of Queue free St.	0.98	0.94
Step 4: LT from Minor St.		
	7	10
Conflicting Flows	222	109
Potential Capacity	738	867
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.92	0.95
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	0.94	0.96
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.93	0.89
Movement Capacity	688	775

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.		
	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	292	105
Potential Capacity	582	744
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.98	0.98
Movement Capacity	568	726

Result for 2 stage process:

a		
y		
C t	568	726
Probability of Queue free St.	0.98	0.94

Step 4: LT from Minor St.		
	7	10
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	222	109
Potential Capacity	738	867
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.92	0.95
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	0.94	0.96
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.93	0.89
Movement Capacity	688	775

Results for Two-stage process:

a		
y		
C t	688	775

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)	1	13	78	662	42	7
Movement Capacity (vph)	688	568	1072	775	726	1070
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)	575					761

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep	688	568	1072	775	726	1070
Volume	1	13	78	662	42	7
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh	575					761
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LTR	LT	LT		R	L		TR
v (vph)	4	33	14		78	662		49
C(m) (vph)	1380	1551	575		1072	775		761
v/c	0.00	0.02	0.02		0.07	0.85		0.06
95% queue length	0.01	0.07	0.07		0.24	14.07		0.21
Control Delay	7.6	7.4	11.4		8.6	34.5		10.1
LOS	A	A	B		A	D		B
Approach Delay				9.0			32.8	
Approach LOS				A			D	

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
P(oj)	1.00	0.98
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	12	15
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	4	0
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1700	1700
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1700	1700
P*(oj)	1.00	0.98
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	7.6	7.4
N, Number of major street through lanes	1	1
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5	0.0	0.2

**TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY**

Analyst: M. Raso  
 Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study  
 Date Performed: 01/05/2009  
 Analysis Time Period: AM  
 Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 N Ramps  
 Jurisdiction:  
 Units: U. S. Customary  
 Analysis Year: 2013  
 Project ID: No Energy Park Scenario (250,000 tpy)  
 East/West Street: Hwy 401 on-off ramps  
 North/South Street: Courtice Rd.  
 Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00

Major Street: Approach Movement	Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments			Southbound		
	1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R
Volume	37	138		238	342	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	37	138		238	342	
Percent Heavy Vehicles	25	--	--	--	--	
Median Type/Storage	Undivided /					
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	1		1	0	
Configuration	LT			TR		
Upstream Signal?	No			No		

  

Minor Street: Approach Movement	Westbound			Eastbound		
	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume	36		228			
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00		1.00			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	36		228			
Percent Heavy Vehicles	15		7			
Percent Grade (%)	0 / 0					
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	0 Yes /2 /					
Lanes	0		0			
Configuration	LR					

  

**Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service**

Approach Movement	NB			SB			Westbound			Eastbound		
	1 LT	2 4	3 7	4 LR	5 9	6 10	7 11	8 12	9 10	10 11	11 12	
v (vph)	37		264									
C(m) (vph)	890		1039									
V/c	0.04		0.25									
95% queue length	0.13		1.02									
Control Delay	9.2		10.9									
LOS	A		B									
Approach Delay			10.9									
Approach LOS			B									

Phone: Fax:  
 E-Mail:

**TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS**

Analyst: M. Raso  
 Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study  
 Date Performed: 01/05/2009  
 Analysis Time Period: AM  
 Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 N Ramps  
 Jurisdiction:  
 Units: U. S. Customary  
 Analysis Year: 2013  
 Project ID: No Energy Park Scenario (250,000 tpy)  
 East/West Street: Hwy 401 on-off ramps  
 North/South Street: Courtice Rd.  
 Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00

Major Street Movements	Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments			5			6		
	1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R	7 L	8 T	9 R
Volume	37	138		238	342				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00				
Peak-15 Minute Volume	9	34		60	86				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	37	138		238	342				
Percent Heavy Vehicles	25	--	--	--	--				
Median Type/Storage	Undivided /								
RT Channelized?									
Lanes	0	1		1	0				
Configuration	LT			TR					
Upstream Signal?	No			No					

  

Minor Street Movements	8			10			12		
	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R	13 L	14 T	15 R
Volume	36		228						
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00		1.00						
Peak-15 Minute Volume	9		57						
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	36		228						
Percent Heavy Vehicles	15		7						
Percent Grade (%)	0 / 0								
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	0 Yes /2 /								
RT Channelized?									
Lanes	0		0						
Configuration	LR								

  

**Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments**

Movements	Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments			
	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (ft)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0
Walking Speed (ft/sec)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

  

**Upstream Signal Data**

Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed to Signal mph	Distance feet
S5 Left-Turn Through						

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

Movement 2 Movement 5

Shared ln volume, major th vehicles: 138  
 Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles: 0  
 Sat flow rate, major th vehicles: 1700  
 Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles: 1700  
 Number of major street through lanes: 1

**Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation**

**Critical Gap Calculation**

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)	4.1		7.1		6.2			
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(HV)	25		15		7			
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Grade/100			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)	0.00		0.70		0.00			
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c)	1-stage	4.3		6.5		6.3		
2-stage								

  

**Follow-Up Time Calculations**

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)	2.20		3.50		3.30			
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)	25		15		7			
t(f)	2.4		3.6		3.4			

  

**Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals**

**Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal**

V(t) V(l,prot) V(t) V(l,prot)	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)				
Arrival Type				
Effective Green, g (sec)				
Cycle Length, C (sec)				
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)				
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P				
g(q1)				
g(q2)				
g(q)				

  

**Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked**

V(t) V(l,prot) V(t) V(l,prot)	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
alpha				
beta				
Travel time, t(a) (sec)				
Smoothering Factor, F				
Proportion of conflicting flow, f				
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)				
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)				
Duration of blocked period, t(p)		0.000		0.000
Proportion time blocked, p				

  

**Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods**

Result	
p(2)	0.000
p(5)	0.000
p(dom)	
p(subo)	
Constrained or unconstrained?	

  

**Proportion unblocked**

movements, p(x)	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Single-stage Process	Two-Stage Process Stage I	Two-Stage Process Stage II
p(1)			
p(4)			
p(7)			
p(8)			
p(9)			
p(10)			
p(11)			
p(12)			

  

**Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process**

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
V c,x	580		621		138			
s								
Px								
V c,u,x								

  

**Two-Stage Process**

Movement	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2
V(c,x)								
s								
P(x)		1500						
V(c,u,x)								

  

**C(r,x) C(plat,x)**

  

**Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations**

**Step 1: RT from Minor St.**

Conflicting Flows	9	12
Potential Capacity	138	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	897	
Movement Capacity	1.00	1.00
Probability of Queue free St.	897	
	0.75	1.00

  

**Step 2: LT from Major St.**

Conflicting Flows	4	1
Potential Capacity	580	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	890	
Movement Capacity	1.00	1.00
Probability of Queue free St.	890	
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.	1.00	0.95

  

**Step 3: TH from Minor St.**

Conflicting Flows	8	11
Potential Capacity	1.00	1.00
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	0.95	0.95
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	1.00	1.00
	0.95	0.95

Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Conflicting Flows	621	
Potential Capacity	431	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		0.95
Movement Capacity		0.97
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.96	0.72
Movement Capacity	413	

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.95	0.95
Movement Capacity		

Result for 2 stage process:		
a		
y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	621	
Potential Capacity	431	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		0.95
Movement Capacity		0.97
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.96	0.72
Movement Capacity	413	

Results for Two-stage process:		
a		
y		
C t	413	

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)	36		228			
Movement Capacity (vph)	413		897			
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)		773				

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep	413		897			
Volume	36		228			
Delay	14.5		10.4			
Q sep	0.15		0.66			
Q sep +1	1.15		1.66			
round (Qsep +1)	1		2			
n max		2				
C sh		773				
SUM C sep		1039				
n		2				
C act		1039				

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LT			LR				
v (vph)	37		264					
C(m) (vph)	890		1039					
v/c	0.04		0.25					
95% queue length	0.13		1.02					
Control Delay	9.2		10.9					
LOS	A		B					
Approach Delay			10.9					
Approach LOS			B					

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
P(oj)	0.96	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	138	
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	0	
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1700	
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1700	
P*(oj)	0.95	
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	9.2	
N, Number of major street through lanes	1	
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5	0.4	

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY														
Analyst: M. Raso Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study Date Performed: 01/05/2009 Analysis Time Period: PM Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 N Ramps Jurisdiction: Units: U. S. Customary Analysis Year: 2013 Project ID: Without Energy Park (250,000 tpy) East/West Street: Hwy 401 E-N/S North/South Street: Courtice Rd. Intersection Orientation: NS														
Study period (hrs): 1.00														
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments														
Major Street:	Approach	Northbound			Southbound									
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6								
	L	T	R	L	T	R								
Volume	66	691			220	149								
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00								
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	66	691			220	149								
Percent Heavy Vehicles	25	--	--		--	--								
Median Type/Storage	Undivided													
RT Channelized?														
Lanes	0	1			1	0								
Configuration	LT					TR								
Upstream Signal?	No			No										
Minor Street:	Approach	Westbound			Eastbound									
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12								
	L	T	R	L	T	R								
Volume	17		232											
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00		1.00											
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	17		232											
Percent Heavy Vehicles	42		4											
Percent Grade (%)	0		Yes			/2		0			/			
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	0		0											
Lanes	0		LR											
Configuration														
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service														
Approach	NB		SB			Westbound			Eastbound					
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Lane Config	LT					LR								
v (vph)	66		249			473								
C(m) (vph)	1074		473			0.53								
V/c	0.06		0.53			3.24								
95% queue length	0.20		3.24			22.5								
Control Delay	8.6		22.5			C								
LOS	A		C			22.5								
Approach Delay			C											
Approach LOS			C											

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

Phone:												
E-Mail:												
Fax:												
TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS												
Analyst: M. Raso Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study Date Performed: 01/05/2009 Analysis Time Period: PM Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 N Ramps Jurisdiction: Units: U. S. Customary Analysis Year: 2013 Project ID: Without Energy Park (250,000 tpy) East/West Street: Hwy 401 E-N/S North/South Street: Courtice Rd. Intersection Orientation: NS												
Study period (hrs): 1.00												
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments												
Major Street Movements	1		2		3		4		5		6	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	66	691			220	149						
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00						
Peak-15 Minute Volume	16	173			55	37						
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	66	691			220	149						
Percent Heavy Vehicles	25	--	--		--	--						
Median Type/Storage	Undivided											
RT Channelized?												
Lanes	0	1			1	0						
Configuration	LT					TR						
Upstream Signal?	No			No								
Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12						
	L	T	R	L	T	R						
Volume	17		232									
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00		1.00									
Peak-15 Minute Volume	4		58									
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	17		232									
Percent Heavy Vehicles	42		4									
Percent Grade (%)	0		Yes			/2		0			/	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	0		0									
RT Channelized?												
Lanes	0	1			LR							
Configuration												
Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments												
Movements	13		14		15		16					
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Lane Width (ft)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0						
Walking Speed (ft/sec)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0						
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Upstream Signal Data												
Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed mph	Distance to Signal feet						
S2 Left-Turn Through												
S5 Left-Turn Through												

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	691	
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	0	
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1700	
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1700	
Number of major street through lanes:	1	

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation												
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12				
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R				
t(c,base)	4.1				6.2				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(HV)	25				42				4			
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10
Grade/100			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)	0.00		0.70		0.00				0.00			
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
t(c)	1-stage	4.3			6.2							
2-stage			6.8									

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal												
Movement	Movement 2						Movement 5					
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog												
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)												
Arrival Type												
Effective Green, g (sec)												
Cycle Length, C (sec)												
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)												
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P												
g(q1)												
g(q2)												
g(q)												

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked												
Movement	Movement 2						Movement 5					
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
alpha												
beta												
Travel time, t(a) (sec)												
Smoothering Factor, F												
Proportion of conflicting flow, f												
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)												
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)												
Duration of blocked period, t(p)												
Proportion time blocked, p	0.000						0.000					

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods												
Movement	Result											
	p(2)	0.000										
p(5)	0.000											
p(dom)												
p(subo)												
Constrained or unconstrained?												
Proportion unblocked	(1)	(2)	(3)									
for minor movements, p(x)	Single-Stage Process	Two-Stage Process Stage I	Two-Stage Process Stage II									
p(1)												
p(4)												
p(7)												
p(8)												
p(9)												
p(10)												
p(11)												
p(12)												

Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process											
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12			
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R			
V c,x	369		1117		691						
s											
Px											
V c,u,x											
C r,x											
C(plat,x)											
Two-Stage Process											
	7		8		10		11				
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2			
V(c,x)											
s											
P(x)	1500										
V(c,u,x)											
C(r,x)											
C(plat,x)											

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12										
Conflicting Flows			691									
Potential Capacity			441									
Pedestrian Impedance Factor			1.00			1.00						
Movement Capacity			441									
Probability of Queue free St.			0.47			1.00						
Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1										
Conflicting Flows					369							
Potential Capacity					1074							
Pedestrian Impedance Factor					1.00							
Movement Capacity					1074							
Probability of Queue free St.					1.00							
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.					0.90							
Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11										
Conflicting Flows												
Potential Capacity												
Pedestrian Impedance Factor			1.00			1.00						
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt			0.90			0.90						

Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Conflicting Flows	1117	
Potential Capacity	192	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Major L, Min T Impedance factor		0.90
Major L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		0.92
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.94	0.44
Movement Capacity	180	

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.90	0.90
Movement Capacity		

Result for 2 stage process:

a		
y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
---------------------------	---	----

Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	1117	
Potential Capacity	192	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Major L, Min T Impedance factor		0.90
Major L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		0.92
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.94	0.44
Movement Capacity	180	

Results for Two-stage process:

a		
y		
C t	180	

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)	17		232			
Movement Capacity (vph)	180		441			
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)		401				

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep	180		441			
Volume	17		232			
Delay	27.1		22.1			
Q sep	0.13		1.43			
Q sep +1	1.13		2.43			
round (Qsep +1)	1		2			
n max		2				
C sh		401				
SUM C sep		473				
n		2				
C act		473				

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LT			LR				
v (vph)	66			249				
C(m) (vph)	1074			473				
v/c	0.06			0.53				
95% queue length	0.20			3.24				
Control Delay	8.6			22.5				
LOS	A			C				
Approach Delay				22.5				
Approach LOS				C				

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
P(oj)	0.94	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	691	
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	0	
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1700	
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1700	
P*(oj)	0.90	
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	8.6	
N, Number of major street through lanes	1	
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5	0.9	

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY												
Analyst: M. Raso												
Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study												
Date Performed: 01/05/2009												
Analysis Time Period: AM												
Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 S Ramps												
Jurisdiction:												
Units: U. S. Metric												
Analysis Year: 2013												
Project ID: No Energy Park Scenario (250,000 tpy)												
East/West Street: Hwy 401 W-N/S												
North/South Street: Courtice Rd.												
Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00												
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments												
Major Street:	Approach			Northbound			Southbound					
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6						
	L	T	R	L	T	R						
Volume	3	11	3	88	15	172						
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	3	11	3	88	15	172						
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	--	--	25	--	--						
Median Type/Storage	Undivided											
RT Channelized?							No					
Lanes	0 1 0			0 1 1								
Configuration	LTR			LT R								
Upstream Signal?	No						No					
Minor Street:	Approach			Westbound			Eastbound					
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12						
	L	T	R	L	T	R						
Volume	4	3	31	133	100	12						
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	4	3	31	133	100	12						
Percent Heavy Vehicles	17	5	25	8	10	0						
Percent Grade (%)	0						0					
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	0						/					
Lanes	0 1 1			0 2 0								
Configuration	LTR			LT TR								
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service												
Approach	NB			SB			Westbound			Eastbound		
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LTR	LT	LT	LT	LT	TR	LT	LT	LT	TR	LT	TR
v (vph)	3	88	7	31	183	62						
C(m) (vph)	1399	1467	492	1005	661	684						
V/c	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.28	0.09						
95% queue length	0.01	0.19	0.04	0.10	1.14	0.30						
Control Delay	7.6	7.6	12.4	8.7	12.5	10.8						
LOS	A	A	B	A	B	B						
Approach Delay				9.4						12.1		
Approach LOS				A						B		

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

Phone:	Fax:											
E-Mail:												
TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS												
Analyst: M. Raso												
Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study												
Date Performed: 01/05/2009												
Analysis Time Period: AM												
Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 S Ramps												
Jurisdiction:												
Units: U. S. Metric												
Analysis Year: 2013												
Project ID: No Energy Park Scenario (250,000 tpy)												
East/West Street: Hwy 401 W-N/S												
North/South Street: Courtice Rd.												
Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00												
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments												
Major Street Movements	L			T			R					
	1	2	3	4	5	6						
Volume	3	11	3	88	15	172						
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Peak-15 Minute Volume	1	3	1	22	4	43						
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	3	11	3	88	15	172						
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	--	--	25	--	--						
Median Type/Storage	Undivided											
RT Channelized?							No					
Lanes	0 1 0			0 1 1								
Configuration	LTR			LT R								
Upstream Signal?	No						No					
Minor Street Movements	L			T			R					
	7	8	9	10	11	12						
Volume	4	3	31	133	100	12						
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Peak-15 Minute Volume	1	1	8	33	25	3						
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	4	3	31	133	100	12						
Percent Heavy Vehicles	17	5	25	8	10	0						
Percent Grade (%)	0						0					
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	0						/					
RT Channelized?							No					
Lanes	0 1 1			0 2 0								
Configuration	LTR			LT TR								
Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments												
Movements	13		14		15		16					
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6						
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2						
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Upstream Signal Data												
Prog. Flow	Sat Flow	Arrival	Green	Cycle	Prog. Speed	Distance						
vph	vph	Type	Time	Length	to Signal	to meters						
S2 Left-Turn Through												
S5 Left-Turn Through												

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	11	15
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	3	0
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1700	1700
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1700	1700
Number of major street through lanes:	1	1

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation											
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12			
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R			
t(c,base)	4.1	4.1	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2			
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			
P(HV)	0	25	17	5	25	8	10	0			
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10			
Grade/100			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
t(3,lt)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00			
t(c)	1-stage	4.1	4.3	7.3	6.6	6.4	7.2	6.6			
2-stage											
Follow-Up Time Calculations											
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12			
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R			
t(f,base)	2.20	2.20	3.50	4.00	3.30	3.50	4.00	3.30			
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90			
P(HV)	0	25	17	5	25	8	10	0			
t(f)	2.2	2.4	3.7	4.0	3.5	3.6	4.1	3.3			

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal											
				Movement 2				Movement 5			
				V(t)				V(l,prot)			
V prog											
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)											
Arrival Type											
Effective Green, g (sec)											
Cycle Length, C (sec)											
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)											
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P											
g(q1)				g(q2)				g(q)			
Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked											
				Movement 2				Movement 5			
				V(t)				V(l,prot)			
alpha											
beta											
Travel time, t(a) (sec)											
Smoothing Factor, F											
Proportion of conflicting flow, f											
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)											
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)											
Duration of blocked period, t(p)											
				0.000				0.000			
Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods											
				Result							
p(2)				0.000							
p(5)				0.000							
p(dom)											
p(subo)											
Constrained or unconstrained?											
Proportion unblocked											
				(1) Single-stage Process				(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I			
				(3) Two-Stage Process Stage II							
movements, p(x)											
p(1)											
p(4)											
p(7)											
p(8)											
p(9)											
p(10)											
p(11)											
p(12)											
Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process											
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12			
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R			
V c,x	187	14	351	381	12	211	211	15			
s											
Px											
V c,u,x											
C r,x											
C plat,x											
Two-Stage Process											
		7		8		10		11			
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2		
V(c,x)		1500		1500		1500		1500			
P(x)											
V(c,u,x)											
C(r,x)											
C(plat,x)											
Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations											
Step 1: RT from Minor St.						9					
Conflicting Flows						12					
Potential Capacity						1005					
Pedestrian Impedance Factor						1.00					
Movement Capacity						1005					
Probability of Queue free St.						0.97					
Step 2: LT from Major St.						4					
Conflicting Flows						14					
Potential Capacity						1467					
Pedestrian Impedance Factor						1.00					
Movement Capacity						1467					
Probability of Queue free St.						0.94					
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.						0.94					
Step 3: TH from Minor St.						8					
Conflicting Flows						381					
Potential Capacity						547					
Pedestrian Impedance Factor						1.00					
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt						0.94					

Movement Capacity	513	630
Probability of Queue free St.	0.99	0.84
Step 4: LT from Minor St.		
	7	10
Conflicting Flows	351	211
Potential Capacity	577	733
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.79	0.93
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	0.84	0.95
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.83	0.92
Movement Capacity	478	673

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.		
	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	381	211
Potential Capacity	547	672
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.94	0.94
Movement Capacity	513	630

Result for 2 stage process:

a		
y		
C t	513	630
Probability of Queue free St.	0.99	0.84

Step 4: LT from Minor St.		
	7	10

Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	351	211
Potential Capacity	577	733
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.79	0.93
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	0.84	0.95
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.83	0.92
Movement Capacity	478	673

Results for Two-stage process:

a		
y		
C t	478	673

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)	4	3	31	133	100	12
Movement Capacity (vph)	478	513	1005	673	630	1070
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)	492			661		684

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep	478	513	1005	673	630	1070
Volume	4	3	31	133	100	12
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh	492			661		684
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LTR	LT	LT		R	LT		TR
v (vph)	3	88	7		31	183		62
C(m) (vph)	1399	1467	492		1005	661		684
v/c	0.00	0.06	0.01		0.03	0.28		0.09
95% queue length	0.01	0.19	0.04		0.10	1.14		0.30
Control Delay	7.6	7.6	12.4		8.7	12.5		10.8
LOS	A	A	B		A	B		B
Approach Delay				9.4			12.1	
Approach LOS				A			B	

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
P(oj)	1.00	0.94
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	11	15
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	3	0
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1700	1700
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1700	1700
P*(oj)	1.00	0.94
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	7.6	7.6
N, Number of major street through lanes	1	1
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5	0.0	0.5

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY													
Analyst: M. Raso													
Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study													
Date Performed: 06/25/2007													
Analysis Time Period: PM													
Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 S Ramps													
Jurisdiction:													
Units: U. S. Metric													
Analysis Year: 2013													
Project ID: No Energy Park Scenario (250,000 tpy)													
East/West Street: Hwy 401 W-N/S													
North/South Street: Courtice Rd.													
Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00													
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments													
Major Street:	Approach	Northbound			Southbound								
Movement		L	T	R	L	T	R						
Volume		4	12	4	33	15	189						
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		4	12	4	33	15	189						
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0	--	--	10	--	--						
Median Type/Storage		Undivided											
RT Channelized?													
Lanes		0	1	0	0	1	1						
Configuration		LTR			LT			R					
Upstream Signal?		No											
Minor Street:	Approach	Westbound			Eastbound								
Movement		L	T	R	L	T	R						
Volume		1	15	83	662	46	7						
Peak Hour Factor, PHF		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		1	15	83	662	46	7						
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0	25	0	3	25	0						
Percent Grade (%)													
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage													
Lanes		0	1	1	1	1	0						
Configuration		LTR			L			TR					
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service													
Approach	SB	Westbound			Eastbound								
Movement		L	T	R	L	T	R						
Lane Config		LTR	LT	LT	R	L	TR						
v (vph)		4	33	16	83	662	53						
C(m) (vph)		1380	1551	574	1072	767	758						
V/c		0.00	0.02	0.03	0.08	0.86	0.07						
95% queue length		0.01	0.07	0.09	0.25	14.76	0.23						
Control Delay		7.6	7.4	11.5	8.6	36.4	10.1						
LOS		A	A	B	A	E	B						
Approach Delay								9.1					
Approach LOS								A					

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.2

Phone:		Fax:				
E-Mail:						
TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS						
Analyst: M. Raso						
Agency/Co.: Durham/York Waste Study						
Date Performed: 06/25/2007						
Analysis Time Period: PM						
Intersection: Courtice Rd. & Hwy 401 S Ramps						
Jurisdiction:						
Units: U. S. Metric						
Analysis Year: 2013						
Project ID: No Energy Park Scenario (250,000 tpy)						
East/West Street: Hwy 401 W-N/S						
North/South Street: Courtice Rd.						
Intersection Orientation: NS Study period (hrs): 1.00						
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments						
Major Street Movements	L	T	R			
Volume	4	12	4			
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00			
Peak-15 Minute Volume	1	3	1			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	4	12	4			
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	--	--			
Median Type/Storage	Undivided					
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	1	0			
Configuration	LTR					
Upstream Signal?	No					
Minor Street Movements	L	T	R			
Volume	1	15	83			
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00			
Peak-15 Minute Volume	0	4	21			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	1	15	83			
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	25	0			
Percent Grade (%)						
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage						
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	1	1			
Configuration	LT	R				
Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments						
Movements	13	14	15	16		
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0		
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6		
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2		
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0		
Upstream Signal Data						
Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed to Signal kph	Distance meters
S2 Left-Turn Through						
S5 Left-Turn Through						
Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles						

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	12	15
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	4	0
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1900	1900
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1900	1700
Number of major street through lanes:	1	1

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation											
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12			
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R			
t(c,base)	4.1	4.1	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2			
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			
P(hv)	0	10	0	25	0	3	25	0			
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10			
Grade/100			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
t(3,lt)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00			
t(c)	1-stage	4.1	4.2	7.1	6.8	6.2	7.1	6.8			
2-stage											
Follow-Up Time Calculations											
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12			
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R			
t(f,base)	2.20	2.20	3.50	4.00	3.30	3.50	4.00	3.30			
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90			
P(HV)	0	10	0	25	0	3	25	0			
t(f)	2.2	2.3	3.5	4.2	3.3	3.5	4.2	3.3			

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal								
	Movement 2	Movement 5						
V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)					
V prog								
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)								
Arrival Type								
Effective Green, g (sec)								
Cycle Length, C (sec)								
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)								
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P								
g(q1)								
g(q2)								
g(q)								
Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked								
	Movement 2	Movement 5						
V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)					
alpha								
beta								
Travel time, t(a) (sec)								
Smoothing Factor, F								
Proportion of conflicting flow, f								
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)								
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)								
Duration of blocked period, t(p)								
Proportion time blocked, p	0.000	0.000						
Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods								
	Result							
p(2)	0.000							
p(5)	0.000							
p(dom)								
p(subo)								
Constrained or unconstrained?								
Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
V c,x	204	16	224	292	14	111	105	15
s								
Px								
V c,u,x								
C r,x								
C plat,x								
Two-Stage Process								
	7	8	10	11				
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2				
V(c,x)								
P(x)	1500	1500	1500	1500				
V(c,u,x)								
C(r,x)								
C(plat,x)								
Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations								
Step 1: RT from Minor St.								
	9	12						
Conflicting Flows	14	15						
Potential Capacity	1072	1070						
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00						
Movement Capacity	1072	1070						
Probability of Queue free St.	0.92	0.99						
Step 2: LT from Major St.								
	4	1						
Conflicting Flows	16	204						
Potential Capacity	1551	1380						
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00						
Movement Capacity	1551	1380						
Probability of Queue free St.	0.98	1.00						
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.	0.98	1.00						
Step 3: TH from Minor St.								
	8	11						
Conflicting Flows	292	105						
Potential Capacity	582	744						
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00						
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.98	0.98						

Movement Capacity	568	726
Probability of Queue free St.	0.97	0.94
Step 4: LT from Minor St.		
	7	10
Conflicting Flows	224	111
Potential Capacity	736	865
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.91	0.95
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	0.93	0.96
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.93	0.89
Movement Capacity	683	767

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.		
	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	292	105
Potential Capacity	582	744
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.98	0.98
Movement Capacity	568	726

Result for 2 stage process:

a		
y		
C t	568	726
Probability of Queue free St.	0.97	0.94

Step 4: LT from Minor St.		
	7	10

Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	224	111
Potential Capacity	736	865
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.91	0.95
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	0.93	0.96
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmt	0.93	0.89
Movement Capacity	683	767

Results for Two-stage process:

a		
y		
C t	683	767

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)	1	15	83	662	46	7
Movement Capacity (vph)	683	568	1072	767	726	1070
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)	574					758

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep	683	568	1072	767	726	1070
Volume	1	15	83	662	46	7
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep	574					758
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LTR	LT	LT		R	L		TR
v (vph)	4	33	16		83	662		53
C(m) (vph)	1380	1551	574		1072	767		758
v/c	0.00	0.02	0.03		0.08	0.86		0.07
95% queue length	0.01	0.07	0.09		0.25	14.76		0.23
Control Delay	7.6	7.4	11.5		8.6	36.4		10.1
LOS	A	A	B		A	E		B
Approach Delay				9.1			34.4	
Approach LOS				A			D	

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
P(oj)	1.00	0.98
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	12	15
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	4	0
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1900	1900
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1900	1700
P*(oj)	1.00	0.98
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	7.6	7.4
N, Number of major street through lanes	1	1
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5	0.0	0.2