

TABLE 4
INCORPORATION OF COMMENTS/FEEDBACK RECEIVED INTO THE
PROPOSED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA) TERMS OF REFERENCE
CORRESPONDENCE FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Comment Received	Method & Date of Receipt	Overview of Comment Received	How it was Incorporated into the EA Terms of Reference
Mr. John Shaw Member of General Public	Via E-Mail August, 16 th , 2005	Surprised to learn that Durham sends its waste to Michigan. Stated that other countries have used incineration for years without causing pollution. Stated that energy from waste is something politicians and environmentalists should consider as means of managing waste.	Durham and York Regions want to implement, as quickly as possible, a Durham/York based solution to manage the waste that remains after source-separated diversion, that is socially and environmentally acceptable to both communities. The intent of this EA Study is to determine a preferred alternative to process (physically, biologically and/or thermally) the waste that remains after application of both Region's at-source waste diversion programs in order to recover resources – both material and energy – and to minimize the amount of material requiring landfill disposal.
Mr. Dave Renauld CAW Durham Regional Environment Council	Hand Delivered March 29 th , 2005	Expressed concern regarding the fast pace of consultation process for the Study lacking opportunity for discussion and debate with the public. Stated that CAW has aggressively campaigned to the Federal Government of Canada to enact legislation that requires all automobiles in Canada to be completely	Preparation of the Draft EA Terms of Reference for this Study, was initiated in late 2004 and will be completed in late 2005. During preparation of the Draft EA Terms of Reference numerous consultation events have been held throughout the study area, the results of which are documented in



Comments and Responses
Summary of Correspondence Received from Members of the Public
During Preparation of the Draft EA Terms of Reference

Comment Received	Method & Date of Receipt	Overview of Comment Received	How it was Incorporated into the EA Terms of Reference
		disassembled and recycled in Canada. Requested consideration for extension on public input for this Study.	the record of consultation. Additional events will be held to support public and agency review of the Draft EA Terms of Reference.
Mr. Rod Muir Founder, Waste Diversion Toronto and Waste Diversion Campaigner, Sierra Club of Canada	May 3 rd , 2005 Submitted to Durham Community Waste Disposal Task Committee, at their meeting held on Wednesday, May 11, 2005.	Stated that “true residual” waste is less than 10% not 40% by referring to the New and Emerging Technologies Advisory Group’s (City of Toronto) analysis of the residual waste stream.	Residual waste that remains after at-source diversion programs includes materials that are not captured by diversion programs as well as materials that cannot currently be diverted by these programs. During the examination of “Alternatives To” the potential for additional diversion based on the existing and planned at-source diversion programs for Durham and York Regions will be examined. Some of the processing components that will be evaluated in the Study, have the potential to capture additional recyclable materials from the waste stream.
Ms. Hallie Mackie Trent University	Via E-Mail October 23 rd , 2004	Interested in obtaining information on how to encourage restaurants in Durham to recycle and compost. Wished to be added to the Study mailing list.	Added to the Study mailing list.
Mr. Frank Condlin Proponent/Developer of Service Concept	Hand Delivered June 21 st , 2005 and September 12 th , 2005	Provided extensive information on the “Controlled Waste Collection” service concept for input to the Durham/York Residual Waste Study.	The Study will endeavor to use the most up-to-date information on available state-of-the-art technologies in a global context, from a variety of credible sources during the evaluation of “Alternatives To”. Information provided during the preparation of the EA Terms of Reference by



Comments and Responses
Summary of Correspondence Received from Members of the Public
During Preparation of the Draft EA Terms of Reference

Comment Received	Method & Date of Receipt	Overview of Comment Received	How it was Incorporated into the EA Terms of Reference
			vendors/proponents of specific technologies and/or approaches to managing residual waste, has been retained for review.
Mr. Greg Parent Representative to Vecoplan LLC.	Via E-Mail April 25 th , 2005	Expressed interest in the Study and presentation of technology. The technology converts any carbon based material to ethanol for power. Asked to be placed on the Study contact list.	The Study will endeavor to use the most up-to-date information on available state-of-the-art technologies in a global context, from a variety of credible sources during the evaluation of “Alternatives To”. Information provided during the preparation of the EA Terms of Reference by vendors/proponents of specific technologies and/or approaches to managing residual waste, has been retained for review.
Mr. Dennis Miller Solena Group Private Vendor	Hand Delivered	Provided extensive information on the commercial viability of Plasma Arc technology.	The Study will endeavor to use the most up-to-date information on available state-of-the-art technologies in a global context, from a variety of credible sources during the evaluation of “Alternatives To”. Information provided during the preparation of the EA Terms of Reference by vendors/proponents of specific technologies and/or approaches to managing residual waste, has been retained for review.
Ms. Gillian Holcroft PyroGenesis	Via E-Mail April 7 th and 8 th , 2005	Provided a tour of the Montreal PyroGenesis facility.	The Study will endeavor to use the most up-to-date information on available state-of-the-art technologies in a global context, from a variety of credible sources during the evaluation of “Alternatives To”.



Comments and Responses
Summary of Correspondence Received from Members of the Public
During Preparation of the Draft EA Terms of Reference

Comment Received	Method & Date of Receipt	Overview of Comment Received	How it was Incorporated into the EA Terms of Reference
			Information provided during the preparation of the EA Terms of Reference by vendors/proponents of specific technologies and/or approaches to managing residual waste, has been retained for review.
Mr. Ed. K. McLellan P.Eng Men's Professional Group	Via First Class Mail August, 30, 2005	Provided rationale for the application of modern waste to energy technology (i.e., integrated waste management system) to alleviate Ontario's energy and waste disposal crises. Advocated conversion of residual waste to energy and elimination of landfill. Described numerous benefits to the energy-from-waste approach as well as international status of the energy-from-waste approaches. Noted Ontario's historical position of the Province on the energy-from-waste approach.	The Study will endeavor to use the most up-to-date information on available state-of-the-art technologies in a global context, from a variety of credible sources during the evaluation of "Alternatives To". Information provided during the preparation of the EA Terms of Reference by vendors/proponents of specific technologies and/or approaches to managing residual waste, has been retained for review.