



Durham/York
Residual Waste Study
Comment Sheet

Public Open House:
Review of Air Quality and Risk Assessment Site-specific Studies

May 19, 2009

Garnet B. Rickard Recreation Complex, 2440 King St. West, Bowmanville, ON

Comments?

- physicians are against this project ...
why are they concerned?

- I am concerned that questions asked on the
comment cards at the PICs are not
being answered ... the public wants answers

- is the EFW incinerator safe?

- we want extra monitoring if this facility is
built: environmental monitoring
air emissions monitoring
human bio monitoring

we need to be assured that this incinerator
is safe

Please submit your comments no later than Tuesday, May 29, 2009 to:
Study Coordinator, P.O. Box 42009, Markham, ON L3R 5R0 or info@durhamyorkwaste.ca

Where is the toxic ash from the incinerator going? Does the Environmental Assessment (at this time) include a study of the possible negative effects of loading, transporting & unloading and long-term storage of this ash? Are the ash dumps being assessed for ability to safely handle it? - ~~is there a~~ **TURN OVER**

AND

Do we have enough
dumps for 30 years
of ash? If not -
will we run into
problems finding
new dumps?

After all, no one
wants **even** regular
garbage dumps now,
due to environmental
problems they cause.

Question I would like asked..... (to over)

Please write your question below and deposit in the "Questions I would like asked" box. The Moderator will periodically read a question from the box.

The amalgamation of new chemicals could be a major problem
Why not use this facility to carry out continuous monitoring of
the more major chemicals, as well as most other chemicals, in order
to know what ^{to} risk by products we are dealing with. This would
also improve our level of trust if the monitoring were done.

Sampling as determined by Iovanta is not adequate nor does it
create trust, at the very least. ~~the~~ all major chemicals should
be monitored by random sampling; sampling needs to ~~be~~ be
established by a third independent group. Ker Worsley skhew.w@

symptec.com

You must also recognize that people will not dispose of only
clean ~~but~~ garbage.

Question I would like asked.....

Please write your question below and deposit in the "Questions I would like asked" box. The Moderator will periodically read a question from the box.

① WAS A SMALLER FACILITY THAT WOULD ONLY SERVICE CHARNOON & OSHTAWA CONSIDERED IN ORDER TO KEEP WASTE MANAGEMENT LOCAL TO THE PRODUCING COMMUNITY & HENCE FRONT AND CENTER IN THEIR MINDS CONSIDERED?

The use of smaller incinerators may also prove to be more suitable than one large system.

- DARLINGTON DOES NOT NEED THE POWER WE HAVE ONE OF THE WORLDS LARGEST NUCLEAR FACILITIES NEAR BY. →



Durham/York
Residual Waste Study
Comment Sheet

Public Open House:
Review of Air Quality and Risk Assessment Site-specific Studies

May 19, 2009
Garnet B. Rickard Recreation Complex, 2440 King St. West, Bowmanville, ON

Comments?

AN UNFORTUNATE BYPRODUCT OF OUR SOCIETY IS GARBAGE. IT MUST BE DISPOSED OF BY LAND FILL WHICH POLLUTES WATER OR INCINERATION WHICH POLLUTES THE AIR. I BELIEVE THAT PROPER LAND FILLS WOULD BE MORE CONTROLLABLE THAN AIR EMISSIONS BUT I'M NOT AGAINST INCINERATION ASSUMING THAT ITS DONE TO THE ABSOLUTE BEST LEVELS - NOT GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS.

WHAT I'M AGAINST IS BUILDING HUGE PLANTS THAT MUST IMPORT GARBAGE INTO AN AREA TO BE ECONOMICALLY SUSTAINABLE. I BELIEVE THAT SMALLER PLANTS USING A ~~LARGE~~ QUANTITY OF SMALLER INCINERATORS WOULD BE MORE SCALABLE W RAMP UP, RAMP DOWN & TO RIGHT SIZE TO GARBAGE LOAD. SMALLER REGIONAL →

Please submit your comments no later than Tuesday, May 29, 2009 to:

Study Coordinator, P.O. Box 42009, Markham, ON L3R 5R0 or info@durhamyorkwaste.ca

PLANTS WOULD REDUCE TRANSPORTATION COSTS & ASSOCIATED POLLUTION.

IT WOULD ALSO KEEP THE DISPOSAL CLOSE TO WHERE ITS PRODUCED MAKING PEOPLE MORE RESPONSIBLE & ACCOUNTABLE LEADING TO REDUCED GARBAGE PRODUCTION.

A FEW WEEKS AGO A COMPANY WAS FEATURED IN THE GLOBE & MAIL THAT WAS JUST AWARDED A CONTRACT WITH NATO & PRODUCES INCINERATORS CAPABLE OF BURNING 10,000 KG PER DAY. IF OSHAWA & CHARLINGTON NEEDS 50 TONS, INSTALL 5 UNITS. WHEN IN FUTURE IT ONLY NEEDS 30 TONS, SHUT DOWN OR CYCLE THROUGH 3 UNITS. MUCH MORE SCALABLE & COST EFFECTIVE.

FRANCISCO ROMAO

905-706-8253 / 905-579-6585



Durham/York Residual Waste Study Comment Sheet

Public Open House:
Review of Air Quality and Risk Assessment Site-specific Studies

May 19, 2009
Garnet B. Rickard Recreation Complex, 2440 King St. West, Bowmanville, ON

Comments?

Please comment on the
British report of 2008

JUN 2, 2008

Please submit your comments no later than Tuesday, May 29, 2009 to:
Study Coordinator, P.O. Box 42009, Markham, ON L3R 5R0 or info@durhamyorkwaste.ca