

The Regional Municipalities of Durham and York

MINUTES of the JOINT WASTE MANAGEMENT GROUP (JWVG) for the DURHAM/YORK RESIDUAL WASTE STUDY

TUESDAY, JUNE 19, 2007

A meeting of the Joint Waste Management Group for the Durham/York Residual Waste Study was held on Tuesday, June 19, 2007, in the Lower Level Boardroom (LL-C), Durham Region Headquarters, 605 Rossland Road East, Whitby, Ontario, beginning at 1:10 p.m.

Present: Councillor C. Trim, Municipality of Clarington, Durham Region, Chair
Councillor S. Crawford, Town of Ajax, Durham Region
Councillor G. Emm, Town of Whitby, Durham Region
Councillor M. Ferri, City of Vaughan, York Region, left the meeting at 3:55 pm.
Councillor J. Heath, Town of Markham, York Region, left the meeting at 2:05 p.m.
Councillor R. Johnson, City of Pickering, Durham Region, attended the meeting at 1:21 p.m. and left the meeting at 3:55 p.m.
Councillor G. Landon, Town of Markham, York Region, left the meeting at 2:05 p.m.
L. Burt, Resident, Township of Scugog, DEAC Representative, left the meeting at 3:55 p.m.
M. Evans, Resident, Township of Brock
I. Gray, Resident, Town of Newmarket, Newmarket Environmental Advisory Committee, left the meeting at 3:55 p.m.
B. Mersey, Resident, Town of Markham, Markham Conservation Committee
D. Milley, for J. McGinnis, Resident, City of Pickering, Durham Conservation Centre

Alternates

Present: Regional Chair Anderson, Durham Region
Councillor H. Herrema, Township of Uxbridge, Durham Region
Councillor N. Pidwerbecki, City of Oshawa, Durham Region
Councillor V. Spatafora, Town of Richmond Hill, York Region, left the meeting at 2:53 p.m.

Absent: Councillor D. Wheeler, Town of Georgina, York Region, with regrets
S. Perrella, Resident, City of Vaughan, Vaughan CARES

Also

Present: Councillor J. Abernethy, Municipality of Clarington, Durham Region
Councillor M. Novak, Municipality of Clarington, Durham Region
Councillor L. Vass, City of Peterborough, left the meeting at 3:55 p.m.

Staff

Present: C. Bradley, Public Works Director, County of Peterborough, left the meeting at 2:45 p.m.
A. Campbell, Director, Solid Waste Management, York Region
G. Cubitt, Chief Administrative Officer, Durham Region
C. Curtis, Commissioner of Works, Durham Region
M. Gaskell, Senior Solicitor, Durham Region
M. Januszkiewicz, Director of Waste Management Services, Durham Region
B. Lockett, Waste Management Technician, Durham Region
B. Macgregor, Commissioner of Transportation and Works, York Region
E. Mastrangelo, Administrative Assistant, Durham Region
J. McKay, Jacques Whitford
D. Merriman, GENIVAR
S. Munns-Audet, Director of Corporate Communications, Durham Region
J. Paquette, Works Communications Officer, Durham Region
D. Payne, GENIVAR
L. Riviere, Project Planner, Durham Region
K. Spitzig, Policy and Planning Coordinator, York Region
C. Bandel, Committee Secretary, Durham Region

Councillor C. Trim, Durham Region, chaired the meeting.

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOVED by Councillor Emm,
"THAT the agenda, as presented, be approved."
CARRIED

2. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

MOVED by Councillor Crawford,
"THAT the minutes of the Tuesday, April 24, 2007 Joint Waste Management Group meeting be adopted."
CARRIED

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

There was no business arising from the minutes.

4. CORRESPONDENCE

- a) CORRESPONDENCE DATED MAY 29, 2007 FROM P. BARRIE, CLERK, MUNICIPALITY OF CLARINGTON, TO P.M. MADILL, REGIONAL CLERK, REGION OF DURHAM, REGARDING MUNICIPAL PEER REVIEW AND OTHER STUDIES OF THE DURHAM/YORK ENERGY FROM WASTE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT STUDY

It was pointed out that the funding allocation from the Region of Durham to the Municipality of Clarington for the review of studies in connection with the EFW project is not to exceed \$200,000, and any amount exceeding this limit will require the approval of Regional Council.

MOVED by Councillor Crawford,
"THAT the correspondence from P. Barrie, Clerk, Municipality of Clarington, be received for information."

CARRIED

- b) CORRESPONDENCE DATED JUNE 5, 2007 FROM W. PYATT, CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY, TO M. JANUSZKIEWICZ, DIRECTOR OF WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES, REGION OF DURHAM, REGARDING THE DURHAM-YORK ENERGY FROM WASTE PROJECT

MOVED by Councillor Crawford,
"THAT the correspondence from W. Pyatt, Chief Administrative Officer, Northumberland County, be received for information."

CARRIED

- c) CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING "VOLCANO" WASTE TO ENERGY THERMO-CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

A copy of correspondence regarding the "Volcano" Waste to Energy Thermo-Chemical Technology Waste Management System was provided as a handout. C. Curtis, Commissioner of Works, explained this is an alternative thermal plasma technology.

MOVED by Councillor Pidwerbecki,
"THAT Durham Region staff be requested to investigate the Volcano Waste to Energy Thermo-Chemical Technology Waste Management System as an alternative source for waste disposal and report back to the Joint Waste Management Group and/or the Regional Works Committee."

CARRIED

5. RESULTS OF THE GENERIC HUMAN HEALTH AND ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT STUDY

Dr. Chris Ollson, Jacques Whitford Risk Assessment Team, provided a PowerPoint presentation on the results of the Generic Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment Study. A copy of the presentation was provided as a handout. Dr. Ollson gave an overview of the background of the individuals comprising the Jacques Whitford Risk Assessment Team, the MacViro (Genivar) Air Quality Modeling Team, and the Internal Peer Review Team. He stated the findings of the peer review team indicate that the Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA) and Ecological Risk Assessment (ERA) errs on the side of conservatism and the HHRA and ERA will be acceptable once the issues outlined in the peer review are addressed.

Dr. Ollson stated the objective of the study was to assess the potential health and environmental impact of siting a generic Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) thermal treatment EFW facility, of up to 400,000 tonnes/year, in either Durham or York Region. It was emphasized that this generic study is not meant to replace a detailed, site-specific study, which will be undertaken once the technology, vendor and preferred site have been determined. This report is meant as a feasibility study only with the purpose of identifying potential issues of concern for inclusion in a site-specific risk assessment.

Dr. Ollson provided an overview of a generic facility and outlined three facility size scenarios. He also provided an overview of the chemicals of potential concern. He outlined the steps taken for the air quality modeling. An overview of the Human Health Risk Assessment was provided which included exposure and toxicity assessments. Dr. Ollson stated the Human Health Risk Assessment concluded that the predicted concentrations of contaminant emissions to air met the MOE Schedule 3 and ambient air quality criteria published under O.Reg 419, and that a 400,000 tonne/year EFW facility did not pose an unacceptable risk to people associated with commercial land use and residential land use. However, there is a potential issue with dioxin exposure to breast fed infants under the Subsistence Farmer and First Nations scenario at the MOE A-7 Guideline for all three EFW size facilities. As well, under all generic EFW facility scenarios, emissions of mercury resulted in an unacceptable level of methyl mercury in fish ingestion for First Nation toddlers. However, at the level of emissions experienced at the Peel EFW facility, these exposures did not exceed acceptable levels.

Dr. Ollson provided an overview of the Ecological Risk Assessment and stated the objective was to develop a focused understanding of how chemicals of potential concern will be released into the environment from a generic EFW facility and how this could potentially affect ecological receptors. Dr. Ollson stated the Ecological Risk Assessment concluded that no unacceptable risk to the environment is expected. However, the study did indicate that caution should be exercised in the site specific risk assessment with respect to aquatic organism exposure to dioxin.

Overall it was concluded that a thermal treatment EFW facility could be sited in the Durham/York Region if the process invokes proper design, operation, maintenance, oversight, and regulation. Findings of this study will be used to support siting criteria of the preferred site, identify potential concerns for technology vendors, support site specific risk assessment, and assist in addressing some concerns raised by the public. Dr. Ollson advised that future recommendations include a detailed investigation of baseline environmental conditions at the preferred site, a detailed site specific risk assessment for the selected site, a site specific risk assessment conducted based on the known vendor technology and specific air emission factors expected from the facility.

Dr. Ollson advised a public review and comment period has begun which will end July 31, 2007. Any comments on the report should be provided to the Study Coordinator as the intention is to have the final report prepared for September 2007 that takes into consideration all formal written submissions.

Dr. Ollson and D. Merriman responded to questions of the Committee.

MOVED by Councillor Johnson,

"THAT the report on the results of the Generic Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment Study from Jacques Whitford Risk Assessment Team, be received for information."

CARRIED

6. JOINT WASTE MANAGEMENT GROUP MOTION REGARDING THE GENERIC HUMAN HEALTH AND ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT STUDY

The Generic Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment Study was received.

MOVED by Councillor Johnson,

"THAT the Joint Waste Management Group recommends to the Durham Region Works Committee and the York Region Solid Waste Management Committee for their approval and subsequent recommendation to their respective Regional Councils:

- a) THAT the consultant's report on the Generic Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment Study be received;
- b) THAT the staff and consultant team for the Durham/York Residual Waste Environmental Assessment Study be directed to:
 - i) Consult with the public, agencies and stakeholders through a number of different forums to solicit feedback on the Generic Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment Study; and

- ii) Report on the results of the analysis and public input on the Generic Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment Study to Committee and Council."

CARRIED

7. DELEGATIONS

- a) LINDA GASSER, 7421 BEST ROAD, ORONO, L0B 1M0, ADDRESSED THE JOINT WASTE MANAGEMENT GROUP REGARDING THE YORK/DURHAM RESIDUAL WASTE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROJECT

Ms. Gasser appeared before the Joint Waste Management Group (JWMG) to discuss the Generic Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment Study. Ms. Gasser provided a copy of her presentation. She quoted from Section 1.2, page 3 of the Report which states the report is meant as a feasibility study. She stated that feasibility studies are generally conducted prior to decisions being taken, rather than a year after the fact and feels this generic study is of limited use. Ms. Gasser also spoke to the Memorandum of Understanding between Durham and York Regions and the reduced commitment to the EFW project from 50% to 12% by York Region. She questioned how York Region could justify covering 50% of the costs of this Environmental Assessment (EA) when they will no longer be making the decisions. She stated that at public meetings over the last two months delegations have been expressing concerns about costs, health, and environmental impacts of an EFW facility. She stated that municipalities should be devoting their energies to lobbying all provincial parties to adopt platforms that would result in a comprehensive waste and resource management strategy for the whole Province. Ms. Gasser stated the EA should be paused, if not stopped altogether, and the EA Terms of Reference should be re-interpreted. She stated public input needs to be solicited and the choice of Preferred Alternative needs to be revisited after an assessment of all waste management strategies. Ms. Gasser also suggested that representatives of both York and Durham Regional Councils and staff should be at every Public Information Session to answer questions along with the consultants and to hear the concerns residents are expressing.

- b) BARRY BRACKEN, 15030 OLD SIMCOE ROAD, PORT PERRY, L9L 1K4, ADDRESSED THE JOINT WASTE MANAGEMENT GROUP REGARDING THE YORK/DURHAM RESIDUAL WASTE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROJECT

Mr. Bracken appeared before the Joint Waste Management Group (JWMG) to express his concerns with the EFW project. Mr. Bracken provided a copy of his presentation. He questioned why building a thermal waste facility is still being considered when the following factors are taken into account:

- That thermal facilities produce toxic emissions which include dioxins, furans, heavy metals and others;

- That incineration is a waste of energy and that we can save four (4) times the energy produced by an EFW facility by eliminating the need to extract virgin resources and manufacturing new products;
- That Niagara and now Halton Region have or are in the process of turning their backs on incineration; and
- That East Gwillimbury has declared it is not a willing host community and that York Region is backing down on its plan to be an equal partner with Durham Region.

Mr. Bracken suggested that a Zero Waste Strategy be adopted as an alternative to a thermal technology. He stated Zero Waste is not a technology but a strategy that combines community practices with industrial practices to reduce the amount of waste being generated. Mr. Bracken also spoke to the Generic Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment and stated that Ontario emission standards are amongst the worst in the world. He questioned what the analysis would show when compared to the country with the most stringent standards.

c) IAN SMYTHE, DIRECTOR, AND STAN KINSMEN, DIRECTOR, N TECH ENVIRONMENTAL INC., ADDRESSED THE JOINT WASTE MANAGEMENT GROUP REGARDING THE YORK/DURHAM RESIDUAL WASTE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROJECT

Mr. Stan Kinsmen, N Tech Environmental, appeared before the Joint Waste Management Group (JWMG) to provide a presentation on the NTech Solution which diverts materials from landfill and converts residual municipal solid waste to thermal energy. Mr. Kinsmen provided a copy of his presentation. Mr. Kinsmen stated the NTech Solution is a sustainable solution which provides an alternative to landfill, reduces the reliance on fossil fuels, reduces the reliance on landfills, and reduces the emission of greenhouse gases. The plants are modular in design, expandable and have a low visual impact. An advanced waste separation process is used to maximize materials recovery. Mr. Kinsmen stated the NTech Solution could result in significant savings to the Region for waste disposal. He offered to provide members of the Committee with additional information with respect to this process if they wished to have this information.

Mr. Kinsmen responded to questions of the Committee.

d) KAREN BUCK, 58 LEUTY AVENUE, TORONTO, M4E 2R4, ADDRESSED THE JOINT WASTE MANAGEMENT GROUP REGARDING THE YORK/DURHAM RESIDUAL WASTE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROJECT

Ms. Buck appeared before the Joint Waste Management Group regarding the Energy from Waste project. Ms. Buck spoke about Europe's incinerators. They are having dioxin problems near incinerators and are finding it not only harmful when

you breathe dioxins, but also when you eat food that is grown close to an incinerator. Dairy, beef and vegetables have been found to have dioxins in them. She stressed that we should be concerned with small children because they are smaller and much more vulnerable. She stated that incineration pollutants affect health causing cardio vascular disease, diabetes, cancer, endometriosis, and many more. Europe has recognized that there is a change in the climate, nature and biodiversity, environment health and quality of life, natural resources and waste. Ms. Buck stated we need to be committed to improved resource and waste management to help bring about more sustainable patterns of production and consumption which means choosing economic growth that doesn't create environment degradation. She stated we need to concentrate on waste reduction, reuse and recycling and aim to reverse unsustainable patterns of consumption and production by applying changes in our lifestyles.

e) ALEXANDRA BENNETT, 31 AULT CRESCENT, BROOKLIN, L1M 2G6,
ADDRESSED THE JOINT WASTE MANAGEMENT GROUP REGARDING THE
YORK/DURHAM RESIDUAL WASTE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
PROJECT

Ms. Alexandra Bennett appeared before the Joint Waste Management Group (JWMG) to elaborate on some facts and fictions about the sources of dioxins and furans. Ms. Bennett provided a copy of her presentation. She stated the first fiction is that dioxin occurs throughout the environment because it is created by widespread natural processes such as forest fires, not by industrial processes and products. She stated this idea was laid to rest when studies of dated lake sediment showed that almost no dioxin was present until the 1940s, and then rose sharply in parallel with the use of chlorine by the petrochemical industry. She stated the rapid rise in environmental dioxin coincides with the increase in the amount of chlorinated organic chemicals produced by the petrochemical industry, which has thereby created the problem of environmental dioxin. Studies show that a trash-burning incinerator produces dioxin and that whenever an incinerator operates, the world has more dioxin than it had before.

Ms. Bennett further stated that Canada-wide standards focus on human-made sources which release dioxins and are identified as the following:

- waste incineration which includes municipal solid waste, hazardous waste, sewage sludge, and medical waste;
- burning of salt laden wood in coastal pulp and paper boilers in British Columbia;
- Residential wood combustion;
- iron sintering;
- electric arc furnace (which is steel manufacturing); and
- conical municipal waste incineration in Newfoundland and Labrador.

Ms. Bennett also advised that the following steps have been taken to avoid the formation and release of dioxin:

- removing chlorine in pulp and paper, which reduced dioxins to practically nil;
- in Ontario, 75 hospital incinerators were closed that had little or no emission controls;
- one newly created medical waste incinerator was opened; and
- only one incinerator has been kept open in Peel.

She concluded by stating that by building the EFW facility we will be producing dioxins and furans for the first time via the incineration process and, even with the best pollution control devices, there is a release of these chemicals into our environment. She requested that instead, Durham take the road to pollution prevention. Change the technology of production so that it produces goods without generating pollutants. This automatically achieves a zero discharge. For example, by recycling instead of burning it, trash is still disposed of, but no dioxin is produced. She recommended that an incinerator not be built here or anywhere in Ontario.

- f) KERRY MEYDAM, 3838 TRULLS ROAD, COURTICE, L1E 2L3, ADDRESSED THE JOINT WASTE MANAGEMENT GROUP REGARDING THE YORK/DURHAM RESIDUAL WASTE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROJECT

Ms. Kerry Meydam withdrew her request to speak as a delegation.

- g) PAUL-ANDRE LAROSE, 435 GLENDALE AVENUE, OSHAWA, L1J 3H9, ADDRESSED THE JOINT WASTE MANAGEMENT GROUP REGARDING THE YORK/DURHAM RESIDUAL WASTE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROJECT

Mr. Larose appeared before the Joint Waste Management Group (JWVG) to address the Generic Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment Study. Mr. Larose provided a copy of his presentation. He has several concerns with the report. He stated the document is not authored or dated and it was only mentioned verbally today those who contributed to its production. He stated the report fails to investigate a wide array of papers in the scientific literature and the report does not contain data from health professionals or academics. Mr. Larose feels that while the report claims that "previous human health and ecological risk assessment of thermal treatment conducted in Ontario have concluded that there would be no significant impact", a scientific assertion can not be made on the basis of a single source of information. He is also concerned that reference is made to acceptable standards. He stated these standards can change over time, becoming generally more restrictive as more facts are understood. He feels a precautionary approach should be taken and that the onus should be to determine that a design is safe before implementation, rather than prove it is unsafe after implementation. Mr. Larose stated he does not feel the risk assessment document should be used as a

basis to advance the cause of incineration as it does not satisfactorily address the issue of the health implications of incineration.

- h) ELAINE MACDONALD, SENIOR STAFF SCIENTIST, SIERRA LEGAL, 30 PATRICK STREET, SUITE 900, TORONTO, M5T 3A3, ADDRESSED THE JOINT WASTE MANAGEMENT GROUP REGARDING THE YORK/DURHAM RESIDUAL WASTE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROJECT

Ms. MacDonald appeared before the Committee to express her concerns with the proposed EFW facility. Ms. MacDonald provided a copy of her presentation. With respect to air emissions, she stated a statement posted on the Durham/York website reads that the "air emissions of the proposed facility will meet all provincial regulatory requirements", and links are provided to Ministry of the Environment (MOE) Guideline A-7 and Regulation 419/05. Ms. MacDonald advised that a recent Environmental Review Tribunal decision recognized that mere compliance with these regulatory standards does not necessarily mean a decision to approve a project and issue a permit, the MOE must take an ecosystem approach as required by the MOE Statement of Environment Values.

Ms. MacDonald also stated that there are serious concerns about the potential adverse health effects associated with waste incinerators. Ontario has committed to eradicating coal fired electricity because of the impact it has on our air quality. A study by consultants for Niagara Region and the City of Hamilton concluded that an EFW facility (on average) would emit thirteen times more lead and five times more mercury than a coal powered plant generating the same amount of net energy. Ms. MacDonald advised these figures were obtained from the Final Draft Report on Comparative Emission Study, June 2005, by MacViro Consultants Inc.

Ms. MacDonald further stated that EFW facilities are not compatible with diversion and that an EFW facility will hinder any progress towards increasing diversion rates. She stated that when you compare thermally treating a tonne of mixed waste in a modern incineration electricity facility versus recycling that same waste, recycling would result in more energy savings than incinerating.

Ms. MacDonald concluded by stating that incineration is a waste of energy compared to recycling; it contributes to air pollution; and is a more polluting source of energy than coal with respect to pollutants such as lead, mercury and greenhouse gas emissions; and, should be rejected as a waste management option.

Ms. MacDonald responded to questions of the Committee. Mr. Merriman clarified that the Final Draft Report on Comparative Emission Study, June 2005 by MacViro Consultants Inc. was a draft report. It contains incorrect information and updated emission factors have since been received. The report has been updated and will be published in "Solid Waste and Recycling" magazine shortly. It was further clarified that the report did not cause Niagara or Halton to turn away from an Energy

From Waste facility. An updated report will be provided to Ms. MacDonald by D. Merriman. The Committee suggested that the correct, updated report be posted on the York/Durham Residual Waste Study website as soon as possible to ensure the correct information is being provided to the public.

i) SHIRLEY CRAGO, 255 OSBORNE ROAD, COURTICE, L1E 2R3, ADDRESSED THE JOINT WASTE MANAGEMENT GROUP REGARDING THE YORK/DURHAM RESIDUAL WASTE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROJECT

Ms. Crago appeared before the Joint Waste Management Group (JWMG) to address the Generic Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment Study. Ms. Crago provided a copy of her presentation. She advised she resides on the lakefront next to sites 1, 2 and 5 for the proposed incinerator in Courtice. She stated she disagrees that the impact of an incinerator would be benign, as stated in the health assessment. She is concerned with the emissions from an incinerator and feels this will add to the already poor air quality. Ms. Crago also stated an incinerator will not only affect her, but every resident in York and Durham Regions. She further stated the fields will be directly affected by the incinerator. The crops from these fields are fed to animals destined for the meat department in the grocery stores. The water from Lake Ontario fills the taps. These items will be contaminated by the poisons vented from the incinerator. She feels the Region of Durham should not be a willing host to an incinerator. Ms. Crago further stated incineration will increase health care costs. She also stated it will not eliminate the need for a landfill and, in fact, it will be worse as hazardous by-products will need to be landfilled. Ms. Crago recommended that Durham and York Councils reject incineration on the basis of dangers to health; that it should be stressed in the Environmental Assessment that public health and environmental concerns are primary issues in any waste disposal solution; and, that Council think about the consequences before they act.

8. UPDATE ON THE SHORT LIST SITE EVALUATION PROCESS AND WORKPLAN

J. McKay, Jacques Whitford, provided an update on the Energy from Waste (EFW) short-list site evaluation process. He advised that two sites in Clarington have been removed from the EFW short-list. The first site is the Clarington 02 site which is located near Courtice Road and Highway 401 in Clarington. This site was removed as the Official Plan Land Use Designation does not comply with the Step 2 area screening process. The second site is the Clarington 03 site which is a privately owned site located west of Lamb's Road in Clarington. This site was originally offered by a "willing seller" however the owner has since withdrawn this site from further consideration.

J. McKay advised that the site in East Gwillimbury and the three remaining sites in Clarington are still on the table.

9. PUBLIC INFORMATION SESSIONS – JUNE 18, 19, 20, 21, 27 AND 28

J. McKay, Jacques Whitford, advised that Public Information Sessions will be held on June 19, 20, 21, 27 and 28 to present the results of the health/ecological studies. He advised there was a Public Information Session on June 18 at Faith United Church in Bowmanville from 2:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. This was a drop-in, open-house style session to provide residents with a project overview from 2004 to the present. He stated approximately 40 residents participated in this open-house.

J. McKay stated all the public information sessions will be facilitated by Robb Ogilvie, an Independent Public Facilitator, and a full report on the sessions will be provided. The public information sessions to present the health/ecological studies will take place as follows:

Tuesday, June 19

Roman Palace Banquet Hall, 1096 Ringwell Road, Newmarket
6:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m.

Wednesday, June 20

Clarington Beech Centre, 26 Beech Street, Bowmanville
6:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m.

Thursday, June 21

Roman Palace Banquet Hall, 1096 Ringwell Road, Newmarket
6:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m.

Wednesday, June 27

Faith United Church, 1778 Nash Road, Courtice
6:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m.

Thursday, June 28

Newcastle Hall, 20 King Street West, Newcastle
6:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m.

It was questioned if consideration could be given to a public information session being held in North Durham. J. McKay advised the request will be brought back to the consultants for consideration.

10. NEXT MEETING: SEPTEMBER 25, 2007

The next meeting of the JWMG is scheduled for Tuesday, September 25, 2007 at 1:00 p.m. in the Region of Durham.

11. ADJOURNMENT

MOVED by Councillor Emm,
"THAT the meeting be adjourned."
CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

C. Trim, Chair
Joint Waste Management Group